

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/500,094	02/08/2000	Russel D. Leatherman	2400-505	2120
27820	590 01/27/2004		EXAMINER	
WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C.			WASYLCHAK, STEVEN R	
P.O. BOX 1287 CARY, NC 27512			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			9
8	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Advisory Action	09/500,094	LEATHERMAN ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven R. Wasylchak	3624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	fress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	l) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	ation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 to 1.10 to 1.00	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appoint of the fee. The apporting or the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
•		and NOTE belowly	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		rielly reducing or si	mplifying the
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	-	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: see		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Claim(s) rejected: 29-35 and 49-55.

10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

DR. GEOFFREY R. AKERS, P.E. PRIMARY EXAMINER



Continuation of 10. Other: in response to applicant's Remarks: on page 5 of Remarks, applicant hypothecates "... as each fueling position 14 "acts" (exr. quote) as a thin client... "instead of stating position 14 in fact is a thin client and has the necessary computer architecture delineated in the drawings specifically showing the thin clients and specifically showing any and all fat clients as well as any application servers. Hypothetication is not permissable. Hollidge teaches a thin client. One server is present in fig. 13(1307). It is connected to a card reader for credit card payment (col 2, L 44-55 and fig 15: visa) and establishes a thin client with off site application servers with its databases for handling credit card payment. In passing, on page 2 of the specification, second para., Gilbarco is not the inventor of US 5,602,745 or US 5,543,849.